Comparison of Keratome LASIK vs. Femtosecond LASIK vs. PRK After Penetrating Keratoplasty

CORNEAL ASSOCIATES of NEW JERSEY
Theodore Perl, M.D.
Ruben Kuruvilla, M.D.

Disclosure: None of the authors have any financial interest in the products or manufacturers of products used in this study.
Surgical Parameters

• All surgeries done by same surgeon (TP)

• LVC ≥ 18 months post PKP

• LVC ≥ 6 months post final suture removal

• Lasik: VISX Star S4 and Zyoptix XP microkeratome

• PRK: VISX Star S4 with 12 seconds 0.02% MMC
Demographics

**Lasik**
- N = 42
- Mean Age = 52
- Preop BCVA: 20/25
  range: 20/16 – 20/50
- Preop Cyl (K): 5.13
  range: 0.50 – 11.37
- Preop Sph Equiv: -2.20
  range: -8.13 → +4.25

**PRK**
- N = 47
- Mean Age = 51
- Preop BCVA: 20/32
  range: 20/20 – 20/80
- Preop Cyl (K): 7.40
  range: 1.13 – 19.33
- Preop Sph Equiv: -1.39
  range: -10.75 → +4.50
Results - 6 Months Post op

LASIK
- Cyl (K): 3.03 (0.37 – 7.50)
- Cyl (M): 2.09 (0.50 – 6.75)
- Sph Eq: -0.68 (-3.63 - + 2.13)

PRK
- Cyl (K): 4.29 (1.38 - 9.00)
- Cyl (M): 2.23 (0.00 – 6.75)
- Sph Eq: -0.88 (-3.75 - +1.38)
Results – 6 Months Post op
UCVA

Lasik
• Mean UCVA = 20/42
• 64% patients ≥ 20/40
• 45% patients ≥ 20/25
• Enhancement 10/42 (24%)

PRK
• Mean UCVA = 20/60
• 50% patients ≥ 20/40
• 10% patients ≥ 20/25
• Enhancement 9/47 (19%)
Results – 6 Months Post op

BCVA

Lasik
• Mean BCVA = 20/22
• 100% patients ≥ 20/40
• 83% patients ≥ 20/25

PRK
• Mean BSCVA = 20/27
• 93% patients ≥ 20/40
• 50% patients ≥ 20/25
LASIK Complications

- Epithelial Ingrowth
  - 6/42 (14%) – none visually significant
  - None past the graft margin

- 1 torn flap at graft margin at enhancement lift

- 1 flap edge elevated – foreign body sensation

- 0 cases graft edema/rejection
PRK Complications

• Haze : 3/47 (6%)
  – 2 pts: 1+ haze with no loss of vision.
  – 1 pt: 3+ haze with 4 lines loss BCVA. PTK →20/20

• 1/47 (2%) cases graft edema/rejection
Femto-LASIK using AMO iFS Laser

Demographics:
N = 3
Mean Age = 55
Preop BCVA: 20/25
  range: 20/23 – 20/29
Preop Cyl (K): 3.12
  range: 2.62 – 3.87
Preop Sph Equiv: -5.66
  range: -5.25 – -6.25

1 Month Post Op:
Cyl (K): 3.03 (0.37 – 7.50)
Cyl (M): 2.09 (0.50 – 6.75)
Sph Eq: -0.68 (-3.63 - + 2.13)
Mean BCVA: 20/28

*VISX Star S4 used for tissue ablation after flap creation.
**No complications noted during flap creation in any of the cases.
***No graft rejections or complications with flap healing in any of the cases.
Figure 1: LASIK flap created within graft, centered on pupil. Flap created with the AMO iFS femtosecond laser.
Conclusions

• Lasik and PRK are both safe and efficacious in treating post PKP astigmatism, myopia, hyperopia and anisometropia.

• Mean UCVA better after Lasik than PRK by 2 lines.

• Mean BCVA better for Lasik than PRK by ½ line.

• % of patients better than 20/40 was slightly higher for Lasik than for PRK (100% vs. 93%).

• % of patients better than 20/25 was also higher for Lasik than for PRK (45% vs. 10%).

• Use of the femtosecond iFS laser to create the LASIK flap seems effective and safe to perform on eyes with prior penetrating keratoplasty, particularly for the correction of the spherical component. More data and longer follow up is required.