RAY-TRACING MEASUREMENT OF OPTICAL PROPERTIES CONTRIBUTING TO DYNAMIC ACCOMMODATIVE POWER AFTER LASER ANTERIOR CILIARY EXCISION

Karolinne Maia Rocha, MD, PhD Co-Authors: AnnMarie Hipsley, DPT, PhD

Financial Disclosure:

Author 1 & 2 have received research funding and travel expense reimbursement from Ace Vision Group, Inc. Authors 3,and 4 have no financial interest.

SPHERICAL ABERRATION AND AGE

- Corneal spherical aberration (SA) is stable with age
- The aging crystalline lens becomes less negative (or even more positive)
- The total optical SA increases by adding to the positive corneal SA



SPHERICAL ABERRATION AND DEPTH OF FOCUS

Depth of Focus (DOF): range of defocus error that degrades the retinal image quality.



SPHERICAL ABERRATION AND DEPTH OF FOCUS

 Both positive and negative spherical aberration expands the DOF



 DoF curve strongly increased (up to 2D) as spherical aberration was increased to +/-0.6microns.

RAY-TRACING EVALUATION OF PSEUDO VS TRUE ACCOMMODATION IN PATIENTS S/P LASER ANTERIOR CILIARY EXCISION

Purpose: Define changes in spherical aberration, Coma, Trefoil, and defocus during dynamic accommodation in patients following a procedure for presbyopic restoration.

- 6 presbyopia patients underwent bilateral laser anterior ciliary excision.
- iTrace measurements were performed at distance and 40 cm to assess accommodative ability.

LASER ANTERIOR CILIARY EXCISION

- Theory: scleral excisions in 3 physiologically critical zones partially restores biomechanics of the accommodative system.
- Procedural objective:
 - Restore mechanical efficiency of the natural accommodative mechanism.
 - Improve biomechanical mobility to achieve accommodative power
- Procedural Methods:
 - Nine excisions per quadrant
 - 600µm spot size in mathematical diamond matrix pattern using Er:Yag laser with fiber optic probe
 - Each matrix performed in four oblique quadrants





OBJECTIVE MEASUREMENT OF TRUE ACCOMMODATION



- The iTrace (Houston, TX) objectively measures refraction and HOAs.
- A refractive difference between distance and near refraction demonstrates true accommodation.

OBJECTIVE MEASUREMENT OF PSEUDOACCOMMODATION



The iTrace compares HOAs at distance and near to determine the change in aberrations: total eye, coma, spherical aberration, trefoil, and secondary astigmatism.

DYNAMIC WAVEFRONT ANALYSIS OF ACCOMMODATION

- Six patients S/P laser anterior ciliary excision were evaluated:
 - 3 short-term patients (0-6 months)
 - 3 long-term patients(6-8yrs)
- ITrace difference maps were created for each patient

Difference Distance to Near BCVA	Mean Rx	Total Aberration	Total HOA	Spherical Ab	Coma	Trefoil
N = 6	0.04	0.15	0.11	-0.011	0.06	0.0005
SD	± 0.03	± 0.08	± 0.07	± 0.021	$\pm \ 0.05$	$\pm \ 0.007$

SPHERICAL ABERRATION AND DEPTH OF FOCUS



- Specific HOA have a greater impact on depth of focus, visual acuity and quality
- SA shifts toward negative values during accommodation

SPHERICAL ABERRATION AND DEPTH OF FOCUS WF Comparison Display HOYATrace Surgical Workstation LaserACE patient Refraction Map **Refraction Map Refraction Map** Total, no Defocus Total, no Defocus Total, no Defocus 8 years after procedure. 3.90 mm 3.90 mm 3.90 mm ----the state of the local data and the A DATE OF A DATE OF A DATE -0.25 -0.25 -0.25 -0.50 -0.50 -0.50 -0.75 Difference Near Distance D D D OD OD 05-10-2013 11:34:03 05-10-2013 11:29:00 Clinic Clinic Physician Physician Operator Operator Limbus / Pupil / Scan 10.61 / 4.65 / 3.90 mm Limbus / Pupil / Scan 10.43 / 5.23 / 4.30 mm **Fixation Target Position** + 0.75 D Fixation Target Position + 1.75 D Tracey Refraction +1.62 D -1.00 D x 118° +1.87 D -0.75 D x 133° +0.25 D -0.50 D x 95° Tracey Refraction Tracev Refraction +0.99 D -0.81 D x 112° @ D <= 2.00 mm +1.40 D -1.00 D x 116° @ D <= 3.00 mm VD = 12.00 mm +1.46 D -0.60 D x 138° @ D <= 2.00 mm VD = 12.00 mm +0.14 D -0.66 D x 88° @ D <= 2.00 mm VD = 12.00 mm +1.69 D -0.71 D x 133° @ D <= 3.00 mm +0.24 D -0.58 D x 94° @ D <= 3.00 mm VD = 12.00 mm VD = 12.00 mm VD = 12.00 mm +1.57 D -1.05 D x 118° @ D <= 3.90 mm +1.83 D -0.80 D x 134° @ D <= 4.30 mm VD = 12.00 mm +0.27 D -0.54 D x 95° @ D <= 3.90 mm VD = 12.00 mm VD = 12.00 mm Root Mean Square @ D <= 3.90 mm Root Mean Square @ D <= 4.30 mm Root Mean Square @ D <= 3.90 mm Total 0.746 u Total 1.074 u Total 0.227 µ LO Total 0.718 µ 1.050 µ 0.211 LO Total LO Total Defocus - 0.585 µ Defocus - 0.975 µ Defocus 0.000 u 0.417 ux 28° 0.389 µ x 44 Astigmatis Astigmatis Astigmatism 0.211 µ x HO Total HO Total HO Total 0.085 µ 0.202 µ 0.226 µ 0.183 µ x 247° 0.205 µ x 232° Coma Coma Coma 0.051 µ x 315° Spherical - 0.048 µ Spherical - 0.015 µ Spherical - 0.016 µ Secondary Astigmatism 0.017 µ x 58 Secondary Astigmatism 0.027 µ x 61° Secondary Astigmatism 0.009 µ x 90° Trefoil 0.019 µ x 87° Trefoil 0.037 µ x 87° 0.001 µ x 108 Trefoil

• Note the reduction in hyperopia while viewing the 40 cm target and change in spherical aberration.

distant w/our rx

near w/ our rx

CONCLUSIONS

- Ray-tracing technology can objectively measure dynamic accommodation and is a critical device to differentiate true accommodation from pseudo-accommodation
- Specific optical correlations were identified during dynamic accommodation pre-op/post-op LaserACE which may explain the improvement in dynamic accommodative capacity and visual acuity in these patients